

### **PPAT®** Assessment

# Task 3 Designing Instruction for Student Learning

#### Rubric for Step 1: Planning the Lesson (textboxes 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.3, and 3.1.4)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides minimal evidence that effectively demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify and describe a learning theory/method and tell how it will be used to guide the planning process; to select learning goals and content standards, both state and national, to guide the planned learning activities; to select a content focus and identify related content that students have previously encountered as well as identify and address difficulties students may encounter with the content; to select different instructional strategies connected to the learning goal(s) to engage students in the lesson and to	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify and describe a learning theory/method and tell how it will be used to guide the planning process; to select learning goals and content standards, both state and national, to guide the planned learning activities; to select a content focus and identify related content that students have previously encountered as well as identify and address difficulties students may encounter with the content; to select different instructional strategies connected to the learning goal(s) to engage students in the lesson and to	A response at the 3 level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify and describe a learning theory/method and tell how it will be used to guide the planning process; to select learning goals and content standards, both state and/or national, to guide the planned learning activities; to select a content focus and identify related content that students have previously encountered as well as identify and address difficulties students may encounter with the content; to select different instructional strategies connected to the learning goal(s) to engage students in the lesson and to	A response at the 4 level provides consistent evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify and describe a learning theory/method and tell how it will be used to guide the planning process; to select learning goals and content standards, both state and national, to guide the planned learning activities; to select a content focus and identify related content that students have previously encountered as well as identify and address difficulties students may encounter with the content; to select different instructional strategies connected to the learning goal(s) to engage students in the lesson and to





# Rubric for Step 1 (continued)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
use individual, small-group, and/or whole-group instruction to facilitate student learning; to design learning activities that address student strengths and needs and are influenced by classroom demographics; to identify materials and resources to support instruction and student learning; and to identify technology to enhance instruction and student learning in this lesson.	use individual, small-group, and/or whole-group instruction to facilitate student learning; to design learning activities that address student strengths and needs and are influenced by classroom demographics; to identify materials and resources to support instruction and student learning; and to identify technology to enhance instruction and student learning in this lesson.	use individual, small-group, and/or whole-group instruction to facilitate student learning; to design learning activities that address student strengths and needs and are influenced by classroom demographics; to identify materials and resources to support instruction and student learning; and to identify technology to enhance instruction and student learning in this lesson.	use individual, small-group, and/or whole-group instruction to facilitate student learning; to design learning activities that address student strengths and needs and are influenced by classroom demographics; and to identify materials and resources to support instruction and student learning; and to identify technology to enhance instruction and student learning in this lesson.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 1. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or <i>vague</i> throughout the response for Step 1.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 1.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is insightful and tightly connected throughout the response for Step 1.





### Response for Textbox 3.1.1

	Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
	esponse provides evidence that cludes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
•	a <i>misinformed</i> identification and description of a learning theory/method that guides the planning process with <i>minimal</i> explanation of its use	a cursory identification and description of a learning theory/method that guides the planning process with a limited explanation of its use	an appropriate identification and description of a learning theory/method that guides the planning process with a relevant explanation of its use	<ul> <li>a significant identification and description of a learning theory/method that guides the planning process with a thorough explanation of its use</li> </ul>
•	minimal identification of learning goal(s), content standards, state and/or national standards, and how they will guide the planned learning activities	a partial identification of learning goal(s), content standards, state and/or national standards, and how they will guide the planned learning activities	an effective identification of learning goal(s), content standards, state and/or national standards, and how they will guide the planned learning activities	<ul> <li>an insightful identification of learning goal(s), content standards, state and/or national standards, and how they will guide the planned learning activities</li> </ul>
•	minimal connections of the content focus of the lesson to the content students previously encountered	uneven connections of the content focus of the lesson to the content students previously encountered	informed connections of the content focus of the lesson to th content students previously	thorough connections of the content focus of the lesson to the content students previously
•	an <i>irrelevant</i> identification of difficulties students may have with the content, with an <i>inappropriate</i> plan to address those difficulties	a cursory identification of difficulties students may have with the content, with a partial plan to address those difficulties	<ul> <li>encountered</li> <li>an appropriate identification of difficulties students may have with the content, with a relevant plan to address those difficulties</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>encountered</li> <li>an in-depth identification of difficulties students may have with the content, with a thorough plan to address those difficulties</li> </ul>



### Response for Textbox 3.1.2

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
little or no instructional strategies to promote student engagement and enhance learning, with disconnected rationales for the choice of each	partial instructional strategies to promote student engagement and enhance learning, with loosely connected rationales for the choice of each strategy	informed instructional strategies to promote student engagement and enhance learning, with appropriate rationales for the choice of each strategy	significant instructional strategies to promote student engagement and enhance learning, with extensive rationales for the choice of each strategy
<ul> <li>little or no connection of the instructional strategies to the learning goal(s) to facilitate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a vague connection of the instructional strategies to the learning goal(s) to facilitate student learning</li> </ul>	an effective connection of the instructional strategies to the learning goal(s) to facilitate student learning	<ul> <li>a consistent connection of the instructional strategies to the learning goal(s) to facilitate student learning</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>student learning</li> <li>minimal reasons for the choice of groupings (individual, small group, and/or whole group) to facilitate student learning</li> </ul>	inconsistent reasons for the choice of groupings (individual, small group, and/or whole group) to facilitate student learning  inconsistent reasons for the	logical reasons for the choice of groupings (individual, small group, and/or whole group) to facilitate student learning	insightful reasons for the choice of groupings (individual, small group, and/or whole group) to facilitate student learning

### Response for Textbox 3.1.3

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
little or no explanation of learning activities planned for the lesson	a limited explanation of learning activities planned for the lesson	an effective explanation of learning activities planned for the lesson	an extensive explanation of learning activities planned for the lesson



### Response for Textbox 3.1.3 (continued)

	Score of 1		Score of 2		Score of 3		Score of 4
•	a <i>minimal</i> connection between the learning activities and how they address student strengths and needs	•	a <i>limited</i> connection between the learning activities and how they address student strengths and needs	•	an appropriate connection between the learning activities and how they address student strengths and needs	•	a thorough connection between the learning activities and how they address student strengths and needs
•	an <i>ineffective</i> connection between the classroom demographics and the design of the learning activities	•	a <i>partial</i> connection between the classroom demographics and the design of the learning activities	•	an <i>appropriate</i> connection between the classroom demographics and the design of the learning activities	•	an <i>insightful</i> connection between the classroom demographics and the design of the learning activities

# Response for Textbox 3.1.4

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
<ul> <li>an illogical choice of materials and resources to support instruction, with an ineffective rationale for each choice</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a limited choice of materials and resources to support instruction, with a vague rationale for each choice</li> </ul>	a logical choice of materials and resources to support instruction and student learning, with an appropriate rationale for each	a significant choice of materials and resources to support instruction, with an insightful rationale for each choice
an ineffective choice of technology planned for use in the lesson, with little or no connection to the enhancement of instruction or student learning	<ul> <li>a cursory choice of technology planned for use in the lesson, with a limited connection to the enhancement of instruction and student learning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>choice</li> <li>an effective choice of technology planned for use in the lesson, with a logical connection to the enhancement of instruction and student learning</li> </ul>	a significant choice of technology planned for use in the lesson, with a thorough connection to the enhancement of instruction and student learning





### Rubric for Step 2: The Focus Students (textbox 3.2.1)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify two Focus Students who reflect different learning needs; to identify the learning strengths and challenges for each Focus Student related to the learning goal(s) of the lesson; to differentiate specific parts of the lesson to help each of the Focus Students to reach the learning goal(s) of the lesson; and to plan to collect evidence that will show each Focus Student's progress toward the learning goal(s).  The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is minimal	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify two Focus Students who reflect different learning needs; to identify the learning strengths and challenges for each Focus Student related to the learning goal(s) of the lesson; to differentiate specific parts of the lesson to help each of the Focus Students to reach the learning goal(s) of the lesson; and to plan to collect evidence that will show each Focus Student's progress toward the learning goal(s).  The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is limited	A response at the 3 level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify two Focus Students who reflect different learning needs; to identify the learning strengths and challenges for each Focus Student related to the learning goal(s) of the lesson; to differentiate specific parts of the lesson to help each of the Focus Students to reach the learning goal(s) of the lesson; and to plan to collect evidence that will show each Focus Student's progress toward the learning goal(s).  The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is	A response at the 4 level provides consistent evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify two Focus Students who reflect different learning needs; to identify the learning strengths and challenges for each Focus Student related to the learning goal(s) of the lesson; to differentiate specific parts of the lesson to help each of the Focus Students to reach the learning goal(s) of the lesson; and to plan to collect evidence that will show each Focus Student's progress toward the learning goal(s).  The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is
and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 2. Evidence may also be missing.	and/or <i>vague</i> throughout the response for Step 2.	appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 2.	insightful and tightly connected throughout the response for Step 2.





### Response for Textbox 3.2.1

	Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3 Score of 4	
	Response provides evidence that ncludes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that ncludes the following:	e that
•	little or no identification of each Focus Student's learning strengths and challenges related to the learning goal(s) of the lesson	<ul> <li>a cursory identification of each Focus Student's learning strengths and challenges related to the learning goal(s) of the lesson</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>an accurate identification of each</li> <li>Focus Student's learning</li> <li>strengths and challenges related</li> <li>to the learning goal(s) of the</li> <li>lesson</li> <li>a detailed identification</li> <li>Focus Student's learning</li> <li>strengths and challenge</li> <li>to the learning goal(s) of the</li> </ul>	g s related
•	an <i>ineffective</i> differentiation of and rationale for choosing specific parts of the lesson to help each Focus Student meet the learning goal(s) of the lesson	<ul> <li>a limited differentiation of and rationale for choosing specific parts of the lesson to help each Focus Student meet the learning goal(s) of the lesson</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>an appropriate differentiation of and rationale for choosing specific parts of the lesson to help each Focus Student meet the learning goal(s) of the lesson</li> <li>a significant differentiat rationale for choosing specific parts of the lesson to help each Focus Student meet the goal(s) of the lesson</li> </ul>	pecific elp each
•	a <i>minimal</i> plan to collect evidence to show the progress of each Focus Student toward the learning goal(s)	<ul> <li>a partial plan to collect evidence to show the progress of each Focus Student toward the learning goal(s)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a logical plan to collect evidence to show the progress of each Focus Student toward the learning goal(s)</li> <li>an in-depth plan to collect evidence evidence to show the progress of each focus Student tow learning goal(s)</li> </ul>	ogress of





### Rubric for Step 3: Analyzing the Instruction (textboxes 3.3.1 and 3.3.2)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level	A response at the 2 level	A response at the 3 level	A response at the 4 level
provides minimal evidence that	provides <i>partial</i> evidence that	provides effective evidence that	provides <i>consistent</i> evidence
demonstrates the teacher	demonstrates the teacher	demonstrates the teacher	that demonstrates the teacher
candidate's ability to analyze	candidate's ability to analyze	candidate's ability to analyze	candidate's ability to analyze
how the lesson, including	how the lesson, including	how the lesson, including	how the lesson, including
instructional strategies, learning	instructional strategies, learning	instructional strategies, learning	instructional strategies, learning
activities, materials, resources,	activities, materials, resources,	activities, materials, resources,	activities, materials, resources,
and technology, facilitated	and technology, facilitated	and technology, facilitated	and technology, facilitated
student learning; to analyze how	student learning; to analyze how	student learning; to analyze how	student learning; to analyze how
students demonstrated their	students demonstrated their	students demonstrated their	students demonstrated their
understanding of the content	understanding of the content	understanding of the content	understanding of the content
presented; to analyze	presented; to analyze	presented; to analyze	presented; to analyze
adjustments implemented while	adjustments implemented while	adjustments implemented while	adjustments implemented while
teaching the lesson to support	teaching the lesson to support	teaching the lesson to support	teaching the lesson to support
student engagement and	student engagement and	student engagement and	student engagement and
learning; to analyze steps taken	learning; to analyze steps taken	learning; to analyze steps taken	learning; to analyze steps taken
to foster teacher-to-student and	to foster teacher-to-student and	to foster teacher-to-student and	to foster teacher-to-student and
student-to-student interactions	student-to-student interactions	student-to-student interactions	student-to-student interactions
to impact student engagement	to impact student engagement	to impact student engagement	to impact student engagement
and learning; to analyze the	and learning; to analyze the	and learning; to analyze the	and learning; to analyze the
impact that feedback provided	impact that feedback provided	impact that feedback provided	impact that feedback provided
during the lesson had on student	during the lesson had on student	during the lesson had on student	during the lesson had on student
learning; to analyze the extent	learning; to analyze the extent	learning; to analyze the extent to	learning; to analyze the extent to
to which each of the Focus	to which each of the Focus	which each of the Focus	which each of the Focus
Students achieved the learning	Students achieved the learning	Students achieved the learning	Students achieved the learning
goal(s) of the lesson; and to	goal(s) of the lesson; and to	goal(s) of the lesson; and to	goal(s) of the lesson; and to
analyze how the differentiation	analyze how the differentiation	analyze how the differentiation	analyze how the differentiation
of the lesson helped each Focus	of the lesson helped each Focus	of the lesson helped each Focus	of the lesson helped each Focus
Student meet the learning	Student meet the learning	Student meet the learning	Student meet the learning
goal(s).	goal(s).	goal(s).	goal(s).



### Rubric for Step 3: (continued)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 3. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or <i>vague</i> throughout the response for Step 3.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 3.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is insightful and tightly connected throughout the response for Step 3.

# Response for Textbox 3.3.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
an ineffective analysis of how the lesson, including instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology, facilitated student learning, with little or no evidence supporting the analysis	a partial analysis of how the lesson, including instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology, facilitated student learning, with incomplete evidence supporting the analysis	an informed analysis of how the lesson, including instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology, facilitated student learning, with relevant evidence supporting the analysis	a significant analysis of how the lesson, including instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology, facilitated student learning, with tightly connected evidence supporting the analysis
a misinformed analysis of how the students demonstrated their understanding of the presented content, with examples from the lesson and from student work providing ineffective support to the analysis	an inconsistent analysis of how the students demonstrated their understanding of the presented content, with examples from the lesson and from student work that are loosely connected to the analysis	a complete analysis of how the students demonstrated their understanding of the presented content, with appropriate examples from the lesson and from student work supporting the analysis	an <i>in-depth</i> analysis of how the students demonstrated their understanding of the presented content, with <i>insightful</i> examples from the lesson and from student work supporting the analysis



### Response for Textbox 3.3.1 (continued)

	Score of 1		Score of 2		Score of 3		Score of 4
	illogical adjustments implemented while teaching the lesson to support student engagement and learning, with trivial examples to support the choices	•	uneven adjustments implemented while teaching the lesson to support student engagement and learning, with confusing examples to support the choices	•	relevant adjustments implemented while teaching the lesson to support student engagement and learning, with appropriate examples to support the choices	•	significant adjustments implemented while teaching the lesson to support student engagement and learning, with detailed examples to support the choices
•	irrelevant steps taken to foster teacher-to-student and student- to-student interactions to impact student engagement and learning	•	cursory steps taken to foster teacher-to-student and student- to-student interactions to impact student engagement and learning	•	informed steps taken to foster teacher-to-student and student- to-student interactions to impact student engagement and learning	•	extensive steps taken to foster teacher-to-student and student-to-student interactions to impact student engagement and learning
•	incomplete feedback provided while teaching the lesson to facilitate student learning, with examples that provide ineffective support	•	partial feedback provided while teaching the lesson to facilitate student learning, with supporting examples that are loosely connected	•	appropriate feedback provided while teaching the lesson to facilitate and impact student learning, with supporting examples that are connected	•	significant feedback provided while teaching the lesson to facilitate student learning, with supporting examples that are tightly connected

### Response for Textbox 3.3.2

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
a minimal analysis of the extent to which each of the two Focus Students achieved the learning goal(s) of the lesson, with inappropriate examples for support	an uneven analysis of the extent to which each of the two Focus Students achieved the learning goal(s) of the lesson, with partial examples for support	an informed analysis of the extent to which each of the two Focus Students achieved the learning goal(s) of the lesson, with appropriate examples for support	a consistent analysis of the extent to which each of the two Focus Students achieved the learning goal(s) of the lesson, with significant examples for support



### Response for Textbox 3.3.2 (continued)

	Score of 1		Score of 2		Score of 3		Score of 4
•	an <i>ineffective</i> analysis of the impact of the differentiation of specific parts of the lesson in helping each Focus Student meet the learning goal(s), with ineffective examples for support	•	a <i>limited</i> analysis of the impact of the differentiation of specific parts of the lesson in helping each Focus Student meet the learning goal(s), with <i>loosely</i> connected examples for support	•	an <i>informed</i> analysis of the impact of the differentiation of specific parts of the lesson in helping each Focus Student meet the learning goal(s), with appropriate examples for support	•	an <i>in-depth</i> analysis of the impact of the differentiation of specific parts of the lesson in helping each Focus Student meet the learning goal(s), with <i>insightful</i> examples for support

### Rubric for Step 4: Reflecting (textboxes 3.4.1 and 3.4.2)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology to help students who did not achieve the learning goal(s); to use the analysis of the lesson and the evidence of student learning to guide planning for future lessons for the whole class; and to use analysis of the	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology to help students who did not achieve the learning goal(s); to use the analysis of the lesson and the evidence of student learning to guide planning for future lessons for the whole class; and to use analysis of the	A response at the 3 level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology to help students who did not achieve the learning goal(s); to use the analysis of the lesson and the evidence of student learning to guide planning of future lessons for the whole class; and to use analysis of the	A response at the 4 level provides consistent evidence that demonstrates the teacher candidate's ability to identify specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology to help students who did not achieve the learning goal(s); to use the analysis of the lesson and the evidence of student learning to guide planning for future lessons for the whole class; and to use analysis of the
lesson and the evidence of student learning to guide planning for each of the two	lesson and the evidence of student learning to guide planning for each of the two	lesson and the evidence of student learning to guide planning for each of the two	lesson and the evidence of student learning to guide planning for each of the two



### Rubric for Step 4 (continued)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Focus Students for future lessons, including specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology.	Focus Students for future lessons, including specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology.	Focus Students for future lessons, including specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology.	Focus Students for future lessons, including specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 4. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or <i>vague</i> throughout the response for Step 4.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 4.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is insightful and tightly connected throughout the response for Step 4.

### Response for Textbox 3.4.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
an ineffective use of instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology to help students who did not achieve the learning goal(s), with examples that provide little or no support	a partial use of instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology to help students who did not achieve the learning goal(s), with examples that provide limited support	an appropriate use of specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology to help students who did not achieve the learning goal(s), with examples that provide effective support	<ul> <li>an extensive use of specific instructional strategies, learning activities, materials, resources, and technology to help students who did not achieve the learning goal(s), with examples that provide thorough support</li> </ul>



### Response for Textbox 3.4.1 (continued)

	Score of 1		Score of 2		Score of 3		Score of 4
•	an inappropriate use of the analysis of the lesson and evidence of student learning to guide planning of future lessons for the whole class, with examples that provide little or no support	•	a <i>limited</i> use of the analysis of the lesson and evidence of student learning to guide planning of future lessons for the whole class, with examples that provide <i>limited</i> support	•	an <i>informed</i> use of the analysis of the lesson and evidence of student learning to guide planning of future lessons for the whole class, with examples that provide <i>effective</i> support	•	an insightful use of the analysis of the lesson and evidence of student learning to guide planning of future lessons for the whole class, with examples that provide thorough support

### Response for Textbox 3.4.2

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:  • an inappropriate use of the analysis of the lesson and evidence of student learning to guide planning of future lessons for each of the two Focus Students, with examples that provide little or no support	Response provides evidence that includes the following:  • a limited use of the analysis of the lesson and evidence of student learning to guide planning of future lessons for each of the two Focus Students, with examples that provide limited support	Response provides evidence that includes the following:  • an informed use of the analysis of the lesson and evidence of student learning to guide planning of future lessons for each of the two Focus Students, with examples that provide effective support	Response provides evidence that includes the following:  • an insightful use of the analysis of the lesson and evidence of student learning to guide planning of future lessons for each of the two Focus Students, with examples that provide thorough support

Copyright © 2019 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS, the ETS logo and PPAT are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS) in the United States and other countries.